	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3	3877	Filed 09/09/25	Page 1 of 9
1					
2	Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485)				
3	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2700 San Francisco, CA 94104				
4	Telephone: (415) 439-1625 laura.vartain@kirkland.com				
5	Jessica Davidson (Admitted <i>Pro Hac</i>	Vice)			
6	Christopher D. Cox (Admitted <i>Pro H</i> KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	(ac Vice)			
7	601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022				
8	Telephone: (212) 446-4800 jessica.davidson@kirkland.com christopher.cox@kirkland.com				
9	1	- W:)			
10	Allison M. Brown (Admitted <i>Pro Har</i> KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	c vice)			
11	2005 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19103				
12	Telephone: (215) 268-5000 alli.brown@kirkland.com				
13 14	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RA And RASIER-CA, LLC	SIER, LLC,			
15	UNIT	ED STATES	DISTE	RICT COURT	
16	NORTH	IERN DISTR	ICT OI	F CALIFORNIA	
17	S	SAN FRANCI	SCO D	IVISION	
18			۱ م		CDD (TAG)
19	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, I PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	•		No. 3:23-md-03084	,
20	LITIGATION		ORD		FYING PRETRIAL
21	This Document Relates to:				EDING ADDITIONAL ED TO RECEIPTS
22	ALL ACTIONS				
23			l		
24					
25	-		1		
26				C. N.	2.22 1.02004 CDD (LIC)

Case No. 3.23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

On December 28, 2023, the Court entered Pretrial Order No. 5, providing, *inter alia*, that "[a]ll Plaintiffs must produce to Defendants a bona fide ride receipt from an Uber trip connected to the alleged incident." ECF No. 175. "For those cases where a receipt is not readily available, Plaintiffs' counsel must disclose to Defendants," among other things, "why the receipt is unavailable." *Id*.

- a. The Court now further orders as follows: Where any Plaintiff who claims to have ordered the relevant ride themselves 1 has not submitted a ride receipt and Uber, as indicated by its Defense Fact Sheet, has not been able to substantiate the ride alleged by that Plaintiff, Uber may serve written notice on each such Plaintiff requiring that the Plaintiff comply with the procedures set forth in this Order.
- b. Within 30 days of receiving Uber's written notice, the Plaintiff must either (i) produce a bona fide ride receipt to Defendants via MDL Centrality, or (ii) serve a statement indicating that the Plaintiff is unable to locate the receipt, explaining in detail the reasonable efforts that have been undertaken by Plaintiff to search their email and the Uber app for the receipt, and explaining why Plaintiff has been unable to locate the receipt.
- c. If a Plaintiff provides a receipt, Plaintiff shall verify under penalty of perjury that it is a bona fide ride receipt relating to the Uber trip relating to the allegations contained in Plaintiff's complaint.
- d. If a Plaintiff serves a statement in lieu of a bona fide receipt, the statement shall identify the date of both the oldest and most recent Uber receipt available in their email and the date of both the oldest and most recent Uber receipt available in the Uber app. Such a statement must be verified under penalty of perjury by the Plaintiff.

¹ This Order shall not, however, apply to Plaintiffs who have previously claimed in this litigation that the ride was ordered by someone other than themselves.

e. A failure by a Plaintiff to provide the information required in this Order by the deadlines set forth above shall subject the Plaintiff to dismissal without prejudice.

Exhibit A identifies Plaintiffs already identified by Uber who have not produced a bona fide ride receipt and who have provided either no explanation, a limited explanation, or a boilerplate explanation (identical or substantially identical to others submitted by other plaintiffs represented by the same attorney) for not doing so. Entry of this Order shall serve as the written notice described in paragraph (a) to the 90 Plaintiffs listed in Exhibit A and automatically initiate the procedures set forth in this Order as to those 90 Plaintiffs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 9, 2025



	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3877	Filed 09/09/25	Page 4 of 9
--	------------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

Exhibit A:

1. 2091	Cutter Law PC
2. 2106	Cutter Law PC
3. 2123	Cutter Law PC
4. 1184	Levin Simes, LLP
5. 1189	Levin Simes, LLP
6. 1121	Levin Simes, LLP
7. 1137	Levin Simes, LLP
8. 1154	Levin Simes, LLP
9. 1482	Nachawati Law Group
10. 1491	Nachawati Law Group
11. 1493	Nachawati Law Group
12. 1500	Nachawati Law Group
13. 1511	Nachawati Law Group
14. 1889	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
15. 1928	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
	4

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3877	Filed 09/09/25	Page 5 of 9

1		
2	16. 1949	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
3	17. 1984	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
4	18. 2066	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
5		
6	19. 2067	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
7 8	20. 2079	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
9	21. 2095	Cutter Law PC
10 11	22. 2127	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
12	23. 2128	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
13 14	24. 2133	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
15 16	25. 2186	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
17	26. 2221	Nachawati Law Group
18	27. 2227	Nachawati Law Group
19 20	28. 2231	Nachawati Law Group
21	29. 2232	Nachawati Law Group
22	30. 2241	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC
2324	31. 2253	Nachawati Law Group
25		5

	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3877	Filed 09/09/25	Page 6 of 9
--	------------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

1		
2	32. 2255	Nachawati Law Group
3	33. 2256	Nachawati Law Group
4	34. 2257	Nachawati Law Group
5	35. 2259	Nachawati Law Group
6	33. 223)	rachawan Baw Group
7	36. 2261	Nachawati Law Group
8	37. 2263	Nachawati Law Group
10	38. 2264	Nachawati Law Group
11	39. 2395	Levin Simes, LLP
12	40. 2513	Levin Simes, LLP
14	41. 2682	Cutter Law PC
15	42. 2796	Nachawati Law Group
16	43. 2850	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
18	44. 2854	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
19	45. 2855	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
20		
21	46. 2857	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
22 23	47 2050	Williams Hart & Dave Jag LLD
24	47. 2859	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
25		6

Case 5.25 ma 55054 Civis Docament 5011 milea 55755725 mage 1	-md-03084-CRB Document 3877 Filed 09/09/25 Pag	d 09/09/25 Page 7 (of 9
--	--	----------------------	------

1		
2	48. 2860	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
3	49. 2862	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
4	50. 2863	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
5		
6	51. 2866	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
7		
8	52. 2867	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
9		
10	53. 2869	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
11		
12	54. 2872	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
13	55. 2874	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
14		
15	56. 2876	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
16		
17	57. 2877	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
18		
19	58. 2878	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
20	59. 2880	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
21	60. 2884	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
22		
23	61. 2885	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
24		
25		7
		1

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3877	Filed 09/09/25	Page 8 of 9

1		
2	62. 2887	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
3	63. 2888	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
4		
5	64. 2890	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
6	65. 2892	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
7	66. 2896	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
8 9	67. 2898	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
10	68. 2899	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
11	69. 2900	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
12	70. 2903	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
14		
15	71. 2904	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
16	72. 2906	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
17	73. 3243	Levin Simes, LLP
18 19	74. 2293	Levin Simes, LLP
20	75. 2344	Levin Simes, LLP
21	76. 2367	Levin Simes, LLP
22	77. 2371	Levin Simes, LLP
23	78. 2385	Levin Simes, LLP
25		
23		8

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3877	Filed 09/09/25	Page 9 of 9
------------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

1		
2	79. 2389	Levin Simes, LLP
3	80. 2482	Levin Simes, LLP
4	81. 2489	Levin Simes, LLP
5	82. 2512	Levin Simes, LLP
7	83. 2536	Levin Simes, LLP
8	84. 2537	Levin Simes, LLP
9	85. 2774	Kherkher Garcia
11	86. 1882	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
12	87. 1883	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
13 14	88. 2174	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
15	89. 2180	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
16	90. 2703	Cutter Law PC
17		